	Apr	plication No.		Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	10/7	10/734,337		SOWDEN ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	Exa	aminer	1	Art Unit	
	Rob	pert B. Davis		1722	
All Participants:	Sta	atus of Applicati	ion: <u>Allow</u>	<u>'ed</u>	
(1) Robert B. Davis.	ı	(3)			
(2) <u>David R. Crichton</u> .	ı	(4)			
Date of Interview: 6 June 2007	Tir	me: <u>1:00</u>			
	☐ Applicant's r	epresentative)		·	
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: None.					
Claims discussed: None.					
Prior art documents discussed: None.			·		
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL N	IATURE OF WHA	AT WAS [DISCUSSED:	
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sdirectly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sdid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The exar eparate record	miner will provide I of the substance	a written of the in	summary of the terview, since t	e substance
•					
•					
12					
	_				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Appli	cant's Representa	ative Sign	ature – if appro	priate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Crichton to suggest amending line 3 of page 12 of the original specification such that "Figures 19A-C" is changed to "Figures 19A-D". The examiner left a voicemail stating that the change would be made. A similar change was approved in related cases..